

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 4/2/2012 4:08:34 PM
To: Robin Costas/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Joe Dorsey/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
CC:
Subject: Fw: Lithium Data

Please confirm that the information presented below is correct. Given the issue with EDDs and QC it is important that this information be verified.

Thanks!
Cindy

----- Forwarded by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 04/02/2012 04:07 PM -----

From: Ex. 4 - CBI @lmco.com>
To: Ex. 4 - CBI @lmco.com> Ex. 4 - CBI @lmco.com>, Ex. 4 - CBI @lmco.com>
Cc: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, John Gilbert/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Newhart/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Ex. 4 - CBI @lmco.com>
Date: 04/02/2012 03:53 PM
Subject: Lithium Data

Cindy, Ex. 4 - CBI

I looked at the spreadsheet for the lithium re-analyses to achieve lower RLs posted on March 29th. The only thing I can verify is that the BS, MS and DUP are within the QC criteria listed in the previous reports. The BS recoveries are all within 85-115%, the MS recoveries within 70-130% and the RPD values within 20%. All calculated numbers listed in the supplemental spreadsheet are within those criteria.

Cindy.....I have cc'ed you on this e-mail in case you used an alternate criteria for the QC samples.

Ex. 4 - CBI

Ex. 4 - CBI

Lockheed Martin

Scientific, Engineering, Response and Analytical Services (SERAS)

Ex. 4 - CBI